On October 30, 1924, W. A. Camp & Co., Inc., New York, N. Y., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$400, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that the bad portion be separated from the good portion under the supervision of this department, and the bad portion destroyed or denatured.

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.

12820. Adulteration and misbranding of tablet triturates nitroglycerin. U. S. v. 89 Bottles of Tablet Triturates Nitroglycerin. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 19021. I. S. No. 13699-v. S. No. E-4950.)

On September 30, 1924, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 89 bottles of tablet triturates nitroglycerin, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by Hance Bros. & White, Inc., from Philadelphia, Pa., on or about February 17, 1923, and transported from the State of Pennsylvania into the State of New York and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: (Bottle) "100 Tablet Triturates Nitroglycerin 1/100 gr. Distributed and Guaranteed by Morgenstern & Co. 31 Park Place New York City Factory Edgewater, N. J."

Analyses of samples of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that the tablets contained not more than 0.0007 grain of nitroglycerin each, which is 93 per cent less than the amount declared.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that its strength fell below the professed standard under which it was sold.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statement "Tablet Triturates Nitroglycomia 1/100 cm" was falso and misleading

rates Nitroglycerin 1/100 gr." was false and misleading.

On October 31, 1924, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.

12821. Adulteration and misbranding of lutein tablets. U. S. v. Morgenstern & Co., a Corporation. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$100. (F. & D. No. 18365. I. S. Nos. 507-v, 1785-v, 2785-v.)

On October 27, 1924, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against Morgenstern & Co., a corporation, New York, N. Y., alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the food and drugs act, in various consignments, namely, on or about April 27, April 30, and June 1, 1923, respectively, from the State of New York into the States of New Jersey, Massachusetts, and Pennsylvania, respectively, of quantities of lutein tablets which were adulterated and misbranded.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it consisted of potato starch, licorice root, and celery seed, with very little, if any, corpus luteum or other animal tissue.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that its strength and purity fell below the professed standard or quality under which it was sold, in that each tablet was sold as containing 5 grains of lutein and as representing approximately 20 grains of fully-developed corpora lutea, whereas, in truth and in fact, each tablet contained little or no lutein or corpora lutea.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statements "5 Gr. Lutein (Corpus Luteum) Tablets * * * Each tablet represents approximately twenty grains of fully developed corpora lutea," borne on the labels attached to the bottles containing the article, were false and misleading, in that the said statements represented that the tablets each contained 5 grains of lutein (corpus luteum) and that each of the said tablets represented approximately 20 grains of fully-developed corpora lutea, whereas each of said tablets did not contain 5 grains of lutein (corpus luteum) and each of said tablets did not represent approximately 20 grains of fully-developed corpora lutea, in that